

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/849,484	05/07/2001	Bernhard Fischer	37974-0156	1519	
26633 7:	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER		
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP			ROBINSON, HOPE A		
1666 K STREE SUITE 300	ET,NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			1653	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/849,484	FISCHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hope A. Robinson	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 July 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-62</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>57-62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>44-56</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/7/01</u> .	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's response to the Office Action mailed July 8, 2003 on July 13, 2004, March 22, 2004 and December 23, 2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-43 and 63 have been canceled. Claims 44, 47-48, 51 and 55-58 have been amended. Claims 44-62 are pending. Claims 44-56 are under examination.
- 3. The amendment filed on July 13, 2004 amended claim 57 which was directed to "A method of recovering a stable Factor VIII/vWF-complex" and presented a claim directed to "A method of providing a stable a Factor VIII/vWF-complex". Amended claim 57 is drawn to a method that differs from the method originally presented in the application in the method steps and the endpoint. Note that the claim was examined as a recovery method or the isolation of the complex, hence the citation of a reference that teaches an extraction process for the Factor VIII/vWF-complex. Note also that a rejection was made under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph with regard to Factor VIII being present in the claim as a separate product. The claim as presently amended is directed to a preparation which includes the Factor VIII in an admixture leading to the production of a Factor VIII/vWF-complex, but not necessarily the recovery of said product as examined previously. Therefore, since the method claims as amended are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the reasons indicated above and since applicant has received an action on

Art Unit: 1653

the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. The amended claims would have been subjected to a Restriction Requirement had they been presented at the time of filing. Therefore, claims 57-62 are withdrawn.

4. The following grounds of objection/rejection are or remain applicable:

Claim Objection

5. For clarity it is suggested that claim 44 is amended to recite "protein solution contaminated with other proteins" instead of "protein solution that also contains contaminating proteins". In addition for clarity the claim should be amended to recite "calcium with an elution salt concentration", instead of "calcium at an elution salt concentration".

Drawings

6. The Drawings filed on August 8, 2001 are objected to because some of the images are blurred, see for example Fig. 1. Applicant is reminded to file formal drawings when notified of allowable subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1653

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 44-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 44 and the dependent claims hereto are indefinite for the recitation "no more than 200 mM and and a calcium salt", as the word "and" is written twice in the claim. In addition, claim 44 as amended is indefinite because it is unclear if complex or the elution salt is absent calcium. See for example, page 19 of the instant specification, which discloses "a buffer system free from CaCl₂.

8. Applicant's arguments filed on July 13, 2004, March 22, 2004 and December 23, 2004 have been considered. The objection to the specification, IDS and priority has been with drawn. Note that new grounds of rejections have been instituted based on amendments to the claims, therefore, the claims remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 of record has been withdrawn in view the amendments to the claims that changed the invention originally presented.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1653

Page 5

9. No claims are allowable.

10. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hope A. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-0957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon P. Weber, can be reached at (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hope Robinson, MS

Patent Examiner

JON WEBER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER